Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/070,361	HEATON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	EBENEZER SACKEY	1626	
All Participants:	ticipants: Status of Application: 10/070,361		
(1) EBENEZER SACKEY.	(3)		
(2) <u>CHANTAL D'ATUZZO</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 20 April 2004	Time: <u>11.15 AM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: Claims 12-20			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicants representative, Chantal D'atuzzo, informed the Examiner that a response to the office mailed on 05/07/03 had not been filed.			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
Menansaclon			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)	